

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/720,259	02/02/2001	Olle Inganas	P66218US0	8356
136	7590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER	
	N HOLMAN PLLC TH STREET N.W.		OLSEN, ALLAN W	
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20004		1763	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	09/720,259	INGANAS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan W Olsen	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	s
	VIC CET TO EVEIDE A MON	ITH(O) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: e, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	lovember 2003.		
	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowateleased in accordance with the practice under I	nce except for formal matters		rits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	4.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	` '
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	rity documents have been red	ication No ceived in this National Stag	е
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.	st sentence of the specification	on or in an Application Data	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	* *		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
() () - ()			

Application/Control Number: 09/720,259

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the Group II invention (claims 14-25) in the paper filed 11/18/2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds the inventions are exact inverse analogues and that the methods of the Group I and Group II sets of claims can be subsumed under the same scope and spirit. For reasons discussed below, this is not found persuasive.

The determination of whether or not claims to different methods may be subjected to a restriction requirement depends upon whether or not the methods in question possess a shared special technical feature. As initially noted by the International Search Authority, the Group I and Group II methods do not share a special technical feature. It is noted that Applicant's traverse failed to identify a special technical feature that is shared by the two methods.

Applicants arguments are suggestive of the notion that, because the inventions are "exact inverse analogues" and because they are so much of the same scope and sprit, the invention of group I would be obvious in view of the group II invention and vice versa. If Applicant does in fact hold the view that the inventions of Groups I and II are obvious variants and if Applicant clearly admits on the record that this is the case, the restriction requirement would likely be withdrawn. Thereafter, should the examiner find one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, Applicant's admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Alternatively, if Applicant does not believe one invention to obvious in view of the other, this would

Application/Control Number: 09/720,259

Art Unit: 1763

support the propriety of the restriction requirement because each invention would require its own independent search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

Claim 25 is objected to because the recitation of dependency upon "claims 14" should read --claim 14--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rogers et al. in Advanced Materials, 1999 Vol,11 No. 9, pages 741-745 (hereinafter, Rogers).

Rogers teaches micro-contact printing with a stamp that comprises a patterned photoresist onto which a thin polymeric film is provided. Rogers teaches transferring the thin polymeric film onto a surface such that the transferred portion of the polymer film corresponds to the pattern of the photoresist upon which the polymer film was provided. Rogers teaches using such stamps in a process that is used to create a

Application/Control Number: 09/720,259

Art Unit: 1763

masking layer over a thin gold film so that the gold may be patterned by etching. See figure 4a and corresponding text on page 742 (immediately below Fig. 2).

Claims 14 - 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by James et al. in Langmuir, 1998, 14, 741-744 (hereinafter, James).

James teaches micro-contact printing of a polymer by applying a polymer coated stamp to a substrate. James teaches plasma etching the substrate to increase adhesion between the substrate and the polymer. James teaches plasma etching the stamp to decrease the adhesion between the stamp and the polymer. James teaches printing by stamping with aqueous based protein (polymer) solutions. See entire document.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Mills, can be reached on 571-272-1439.

The fax number for TC1700 is 703-872-9306 (non-after finals and after-final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1300.

Allan Olsen, Ph.D. February 9, 2004

Ma Oboc